

# **ADU** Indonesian Plastic Recycling Association



www.adupi.org



## Introduction

### OVERVIEW ABOUT ADUPI



Vision

 Become an association that is beneficial formembersandthe governmentinenvironmental management.

- Founded in **1989** under the name **AIDUPI** and in **2015** changed its name to **ADUPI** in Surabaya, East Java.
- To create the best recycling business, competitive, conducive, and able to compete both locally and abroad by cooperating with all actors involved.
- Together with the government to build standards for plastic recycling raw materials and make a major contribution to accelerating the circular economy in the plastics sector in Indonesia.



 Fighting for the aspirations of members, increasing cooperation, and communication between members and the Indonesian government.

• Improving services and assisting members in providing direction related to government policies



### **ADUPI's PARTNERS – BRAND OWNERS**





### **ADUPI's PARTNERS - GOVERNMENT**





### **OTHER PARTNER's**







# **Recycling System**





# FLOW OF POST CONSUMER RECYCLED (PCR) IN INDONESIA







## **CIRCULAR ECONOMY**

### LINEAR ECONOMY VS CIRCULAR **ECONOMY** Take Make Use Make Recycle Dispose Remake Use Reuse $\mathbf{Q}_{a}^{a}$ Pollute

### INDONESIAN PLASTIC RECYCLING ECOSYSTEM





## **Recyclable Plastics**

### **Plastic codes**

#### RESIN IDENTIFICATION CODES PLASTIC PETE HDPE LDPE PP PS OTHER Polyethylene **High Density** Polyvinyl Low Density Polypropylene Polystyrene Other Terephthalate Polyethylene Chloride Polyethylene \* Recyclable Recycloble Recycloble Not easly Recycloble Recyclable Recyclable at specialist points at specialist points at specialist points recyclable











#### Lower energy costs:

- The energy required for the recycling of PET bottles is only 30% of the energy used during re-manufacturing)
- The use of recycled PET flakes reduces energy consumption by 84% and GHG emissions by 71% (Life Cycle Inventory Study, 2010).



**Reducing the extraction of new materials** by approximately **35%**, which helps conserve natural resources (LeBlanc, 2018).



The PET bottle recycling industry has an impact on **improving GDP and contributing to Indonesia's income** through export activities of up to **11.5 million USD** (BPS, 2022).





In the United Kingdom, the Group's Closed Loop factory specializes in recycling high-density polyethylene (HDPE), enabling a **75% energy saving** compared with making plastic bottles from virgin materials.

The High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE) industry can earn a relatively good profit of \$250 per ton when using recycled materials.









Cable scrap



Shrink labels



## PVC RECYCLING MARKET GLOBAL FORECAST TO 2028 (USD BILLION)



PVC Recycling Market is valued at USD 3.3 billion in 2023 and is projected to reach USD 4.9 billion by 2028, growing at a cagr 8.0% from 2023 to 2028.

Window profile









**Food containers** 



**Ice-cream tubs** 







The global polypropylene recycling market is projected to grow from USD 8.2 billion in 2022 to USD 13.5 billion by 2023 at CAGR of 5.8% from 2022 to 2030.

USD b 2022



⇒ 13.5

USD billion 2030

The growing demand from various end-use industry such as packaging and automotive is expected to drive the demand for propylene recycling.

Mechanical recycling process for polypropylene is one of the cost-effective process.

Packaging is the largest end-use industry segment of the propylene recycling market in terms of value.

Government regulations in various countries has increased the adoption of recycled polypropylene.







The global Expanded Polystyrene (EPS) Recycling market size was valued at USD 18453.87 million in 2022 and is expected to expand at a CAGR of 7.36% during the forecast period, reaching USD 28260.73 million by 2028.

## MLP, PC, ABS, PLA, Acrylic, Nylon, etc





Multi-material multilayer plastic packaging became an issue for the recycling industry due to the difficulties of sorting, separating layers and the high costs of treatment.

Chemical recycling solutions (feedstock) are an alternative with highexpectations for the future, mainly in high-income countries.



Low-performance recycling (downcycling) is seen as part of the solution, contributing to reduce plastic leakage to the environment



## CHALLENGES!!!!



### In Supply Side $\rightarrow$ uncertainty of supply

- Lack of investment in collection points (R2)
- Lack of integration between SWM and recycling
- High dependency on informal sector
- Uncontrolled waste import
- Uncertainty in product design development (R1)

### In Demand Side $\rightarrow$ uncertainty of demand

- High dependency on export market
- No recycling content → no national demand (R3)
- Green Washing (opportunistic behavior)

### In Operational Side:

- State-of-the-art technology is mostly imported
- High technical skills for staff and operators

Typical Free Riders that do not solve problems:

- Opportunistic behavior of initiatives
- Non EPR-oriented regulation
- Pro-degradant product development



## **Recycling Industry Challenges**

- Why only plastic is being labeled as "nondegradable"? How about metals? Or Glass? They are also non-degradable. Should we ban those materials? Or shall we add additives to make Bio-Aluminum or Bio-Glass?
- Collection & segregation is very important. Therefore, every type of materials must be separated; Each type of plastics, each type of plastics with pro-degradant, bio-plastics.
- Labeling or color coding needs to be determined which plastics are recyclable and which are not.





## The unclarity of the main objective(s) of the excise

- **To control plastic usage?** Fact: plastic is the most sustainable materials of all current alternative materials.
- To disincentive the envr. & health impact of plastic? Fact: there are efforts of EPR and recycling content that both can reduce envr. impacts which are proven to work to reduce plastic waste as well as increasing plastic recycling rate.
- **To increase govt. revenue?** This is the only rather acceptable consideration for the extensification of excise and fiscal towards plastic.

#### There is no alternative material of plastic which is proven to substitute the similar benefit of plastic while having less envr. impact

 Research and regulation banning across developed and developing countries are proof of the dire impact of pro-degradant products; supporting this pro-degradant as a substitute of plastic is an evidence of the absence of research

## Excise is not a correct and direct tool for an SWM betterment and circular economy implementation

• Other alternative to finance SWM infrastructure should be prioritized, such as: PROPER waste retribution from polluters (which needs to be increased, as per SWM experts indicate)

#### The expenditure budget for the excise is not wellplanned

 How much does the excise (% or number) go to SWM, recycler support, and other CE incentives? Is there any plan for this? Is it based on true CE principles & aligned with other ministries and agencies that develop CE?

#### Plastic excise incentive towards pro-degradant product has a potential towards unfair competition practice in plastic industry

- While the definition of environmentally friendly products are always misled, free riders of prodegradant manufacturers are taking major benefit from the excise exemption

## **THANK YOU**



admin@adupi.org +62 811 1884 482 adupi\_indonesiaofficial

👚 adupi.org